1	RESP EDIC G. POWERG	
2	ERIC S. POWERS NEVADA BAR NO. 12850 POWERS LAW 50 S Stephanie, Suite 103 Las Vegas NV, 89012 Telephone: 702.444.4035	
3		
4		
5	Fax: 702.939.9968 Email: Eric@ericpowerslaw.com	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff James Orlando	
7		
8	LINUTED CTATEC DICTRICT COLID	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		
11	141 FG ODY 117D O	
12	JAMES ORLANDO, an individual,	Case No. 2:22-CV-00404-APG-BNW
13	Plaintiff,	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO SERVE SUMMONS AND
14	V.	COMPLAINT ON DEFENDANT SMRUTI,
15	CHOICE HOTELS INTERNATIONAL, INC; SMRUTI LLC; ROES I-X; and DOES I-X,	LLC
16	Defendants.	
17	District IAMES ODI ANDO ((District(S)) les end thursels les engals DOWEDS I AM	
18	Plaintiff JAMES ORLANDO ("Plaintiff") by and through his counsel, POWERS LAW	
19	hereby moves this Honorable Court for an Order Enlarging the Time for Service of the Summons and Complaint on Defendant SMRUTI, LLC ("Defendant").	
20		
21	I.	
22	<u>FACTS</u>	
23		
24	Plaintiff alleges that on or about March 3, 2020, Plaintiff was an invited guest at the	
25	Rodeway Inn located at 19941 W. Kellogg Drive, Goddard, Kansas 67052 ("Rodeway Inn").	
26	At the time of the incident and at all relevant	times herein, a licensing agreement was in
27	effect whereby defendant Choice agreed to license its name, image, and likeness to SMRUTI	
28	circul wholoby defendant enoice agreed to fice	nse its name, image, and inchess to swike it

with respect to the subject Rodeway Inn. Pursuant to the licensing agreement, SMRUTI was responsible for the daily operation of the subject Rodeway Inn. Defendant Choice was also responsible for monitoring the operation of the subject Rodeway Inn and ensuring that the subject Rodeway Inn was maintained in a safe and reasonable manner.

Thus, both SMRUTI and Choice had a duty to ensure the subject Rodeway Inn was maintained in a safe and reasonable manner for patrons of the property, invited guests, and the public. Plaintiff paid value to stay at the subject Rodeway Inn and was assigned a room by Rodeway Inn staff. Upon information and belief, the flooring utilized in the rooms of the Defendant's property was specifically designed to not be slippery or create a likelihood of falls.

Plaintiff was utilizing the bathroom of the room when suddenly, unexpectedly, and without warning, Plaintiff slipped and fell in the bathroom of the room. Plaintiff sustained significant injuries that were so severe that he had to be airlifted to the hospital for medical treatment. The flooring and/or surfacing utilized by Defendants was slippery, unsafe, and not reasonably suitable for the purposes of housing invited guests at Defendant's property. The flooring utilized by the subject Rodeway Inn was authorized to be installed by Defendant Choice. The flooring utilized by the subject Rodeway Inn was negligently maintained by Defendant SMRUTI.

II.

<u>ARGUMENT</u>

Plaintiff respectfully requests an enlargement of time to effectuate service on the Defendants. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) states: "If defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff must dismiss the

action without prejudice against the defendant or order that service be made within a specified time." *Id.* If a Plaintiff shows good cause for failing to file the complaint within 90 days after the complaint is filed, "the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period." *Id. See also, Li v. Yuying*, 2:22-cv-00038 (D. Nev. May 10, 2022).

The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has routinely held that courts have broad discretion to extend time under FRCP 4(m). *Id.* In making this determination, courts look to whether a plaintiff has provided "good cause" for the failure to effect service. *Fimbres v. United States*, 833 F.2d 138, 139 (9th Cir. 1987). At minimum, "good cause" means excusable neglect. *Boudette v. Barnette*, 923 F.2d 754, 756 (9th Cir. 1991). A court may look at whether (a) the defendant would suffer no prejudice from the defect in service, (b) there is a justifiable excuse for a failure to serve properly, and (c) the plaintiff would be severely prejudiced if his complaint were dismissed. *Borzeka v. Heckler*, 739 F.2d 444 (9th Cir. 1984).

Here, good cause exists to extend the time for service of the summons and complaint because counsel for Plaintiff has been out of the state tending to his mother who has been undergoing brain surgery in Phoenix, Arizona. Counsel for Plaintiff is the only person who could be present for his mother while this treatment was being conducted. The treatment occurred during the course and scope of the service period herein. Plaintiff also meets the factors set forth in *Borzeka*. Defendant would suffer no prejudice because Defendant has not extended any resources related to this action or taken any action to its detriment herein. Further, the delay in service is only short amount of days. On the contrary, Plaintiff would be severely prejudiced if the Complaint were dismissed because Plaintiff would potentially not be permitted to refile this action due to the statute of limitations. Such a result runs contrary to both Nevada and Federal law's longstanding principle that matters be resolved on the merits.

If additional time is not granted, Plaintiff will potentially not be permitted to refile this action against SMRUTI, LLC. Moreover, Plaintiff has recently discovered that SMRUTI, LLC is the more culpable and proper party in this action. There will be no prejudice to any Defendant under any

¹ Counsel for Plaintiff by signing and filing this Motion herein hereby attests under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements and true and correct.

circumstances by granting the Motion. Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully request that this Court gran	
its Motion to Enlarge the Time for Service of the Defendants for an additional 60 days, and execute	
an Order regarding the same.	
II.	
CONCLUSION	
Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests an extension of time to serve the	
Defendants for an additional 60 days.	
Respectfully submitted,	
Dated this 31 st day of October, 2023.	
POWERS LAW	
TO WERD ELLW	
By: <u>s Eric S. Powers</u>	
ERIC S. POWERS, ESQ.	
Nevada Bar No. 12850 50 S. Stephanie St., Suite 103	
Henderson, NV 89012	
Tel: (702) 444-4035 Attorney for Plaintiff	
Miorney for 1 tuning	
IT IS SO ORDERED	
DATED: 5:52 pm, November 01, 2023	
Berbweter	
BRENDA WEKSLER	
BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	